# Multiprocessing

CS110L Feb 2 & Feb 7

### Quick reflection: where are we in the class?

- Where we've been:
  - Memory safety
  - The ownership model that's fundamental to Rust
  - Error handling in C, C++, and Rust
  - Learning enough Rust to build real, useful things
- Now:
  - Into 110 material
  - Concurrency, multiprocessing, threads
  - ...and how this relates to safety in systems programming

## Today and next week

- A break from Rust-land
  - Don't call fork
  - Later (probably Monday): don't call pipe and don't call signal
- Multiprocessing syntax in Rust
- Intro to project 1, which involves everything we've done so far but with multiple processes!

# fork()

# Why might you call fork? 🍴

- Get concurrent execution (i.e. run another piece of your own program at the same time)
- Invoke external functionality on the system (i.e. run a different executable)

- Going to go through some code examples, which are all either incorrect or potentially dangerous depending on context
- Take ~15 seconds to think about them
- Imagine jeopardy music playing in the background
- What could go wrong? Why does it matter?



```
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    while (true) {
        pid_t pid = fork();
        if (pid == 0) {
            do_stuff();
```

```
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    pid_t pid1 = fork();
    pid_t pid2 = fork();
    if (pid1 == 0) {
        do_stuff_proc1();
        return 0;
    if (pid2 == 0) {
        do_stuff_proc2();
        return 0;
    waitpid(pid1);
    waitpid(pid2);
```

```
int createChildAndSayHello() {
    pid_t pid = fork();
    if (pid == 0) {
        sayHello();
        return 0;
    waitpid(pid);
```

```
int createChildAndSayHello() {
    pid_t pid = fork();
    if (pid == 0) {
        sayHello();
        return 0;
    waitpid(pid);
```

- Returning from `main` = exiting the process
- Returning from a function (not main) = returning to its caller
- Child process got copy of its parents call stack
  - Returning here, child process isn't exiting it's going off and executing code that was probably intended for the parent

```
pid_t createChild(char *argv, int readFd, int writeFd) {
    pid t pid = fork();
    if (pid == -1) { throw Exception("Call to fork process failed."); };
    if (pid == 0) { // In child
        if (dup2(readFd, STDIN_FILENO) < 0) {</pre>
            throw Exception("Call to dup2 failed");
        if (dup2(writeFd, STDOUT_FILENO) < 0) {
            throw Exception("Call to dup2 failed");
        execvp(argv[0], argv);
        // If the child process gets here, it's because execvp failed
        throw Exception("Call to execvp failed.")
    return pid;
```

```
pid_t createChild(char *argv, int readFd, int writeFd) {
    pid t pid = fork();
    if (pid == -1) { throw Exception("Call to fork process failed."); };
    if (pid == 0) { // In child
        if (dup2(readEd, STDIN FILENO) < 0) {
           throw Exception("Call to dup2 failed"):
                                                         Exceptions
                                                         propagate up
                                                         the call
           throw Exception("Call to dup2 failed");
                                                         stack...
       execvp(argv[0], argv);
        // If the child process gots here it's because execvp failed
       throw Exception("Call to execvp failed.")
    return pid;
```

## Aside: from 2021 assignment 4 starter code

might create child process

In parent process, in 'main'

```
try {
  pipeline p(line);
  bool builtin = haddleBuiltin(p);
  if (!builtin) createJob(p);
} catch (const STSHException& e) {
  cerr << e.what() << endl;
  if (getpid() != stshpid) exit(0);
}</pre>
```

Exception may be thrown in these functions (custom exception type)

- GetPid of the calling process (the one that threw the exception)
- Compare against saved PID of original parent process
- If these don't match, exit the calling process
- Why is this line of code critical?

```
// Imagine that this process is running multiple threads
pid_t pid = fork();
if (pid == 0) {
    const char** args = malloc(sizeof(char *) * num_args);
    // Note: On execvp, all memory will be freed.
    execvp(args[0], args);
    // If execvp failed, free memory and exit.
    free(args);
    exit(1);
```

- Unlike processes, threads share the same virtual address space
- Malloc is thread-safe
  - Internally, uses a lock to make sure that two threads can't be in a `malloc` call concurrently — to make sure that one thread can't corrupt another thread's heap data.
  - (Think about heap allocator in CS107 lots of internal memory management and data structures that could get corrupted if concurrent access were allowed!)

- If the parent process had multiple active threads when it called `fork`, it
  doesn't matter only one thread will exist in the child process (the copy of
  the one that just called fork).
  - After a fork, only one thread is running in the child.
  - More here.
- But, remember, when you call `fork`, the child gets a duplicate of all parent process memory
  - This includes stack, heap state, etc.
  - It also includes the state of any locks

```
Imagine that this process is running multiple threads
pid_t pid = fork();
if (pid == 0) {
    const char** args = malloc(sizeof(char *) * num_args);
    // Note: On execvp, all memory will be freed.
    execvp(args[0], args);
    // If execvp failed, free memory and exit.
    free(args);
    exit(1);
```

imagine this is called by thread A, but imagine that — at the moment this is called — thread B holds the "malloc lock"

the "malloc lock" is just a value in memory, so its value at the moment of 'fork' is copied over into the child's address space

...but thread B is not running in the child process, so it can't actually release the lock

```
// Imagine that this process is running multiple threads
pid_t pid = fork();
if (pid == 0) {
    const char** args = malloc(sizeof(char *) * num_args);
    // Note: On execvp, all memory will be freed.
    execvp(args[0], args);
    // If execvp failed, free memory and exit.
    free(args);
    exit(1);
```

...so the child process gets stuck on this 'malloc' call forever

- You might be sure that the piece of code that you're writing doesn't have any threads
  - But are you sure that the libraries you call don't use any threads?
  - Are you sure that there isn't (for example) a background thread running that was spawned in an entirely different part of the codebase?

- In practice, don't mix multiprocessing with threads if child processes are going to do any meaningful work in the cloned memory space (i.e., anything other than immediately calling 'exec').
  - (And, remember that, in practice, it can be hard to guarantee that there aren't threads somewhere in your calling process)
- This category of issues (e.g., deadlock from failing to free resources) is
   probably the biggest danger with `fork()`.
- If you want to use multiprocessing for concurrent execution, take the code you want to run concurrently and put it in a separate executable
  - Invoking it with `exec` will "reset" virtual memory space

# What can go wrong with fork()? (recap)

- How did we (maybe) mess things up when calling fork()?
  - Accidentally nesting forks when spawning multiple child processes
  - Runaway children
  - Failing to free locks when threads are involved
  - Failure to clean up (zombie processes)

# Why might you call fork? (recap/edited) 🕺

- Get concurrent execution (i.e. run another piece of your own program at the same time)
- Invoke external functionality on the system (i.e. run a different executable) but remember to properly exit if exec fails!

### Why separate fork and exec?

#### Linux: customization and simplicity

- Rewire file descriptors? Change some environment variables?
   Block signals? Pin a process to a particular CPU core (cache optimization)?
- Maybe too much flexibility? —> more mistakes you can make

#### Windows:

One sys call: well-defined API, but complicated

```
BOOL CreateProcessW(
                        lpApplicationName,
  LPCWSTR
                        lpCommandLine,
  LPWSTR
  LPSECURITY ATTRIBUTES
                        lpProcessAttributes,
  LPSECURITY ATTRIBUTES
                        lpThreadAttributes,
  BOOL
                        bInheritHandles,
  DWORD
                        dwCreationFlags,
                        lpEnvironment,
  LPVOID
  LPCWSTR
                        lpCurrentDirectory,
  LPSTARTUPINFOW
                        lpStartupInfo,
                        lpProcessInformation
  LPPROCESS INFORMATION
```

```
BOOL CreateProcessAsUserW(
  HANDLE
                        hToken,
  LPCWSTR
                        lpApplicationName,
                        lpCommandLine,
  LPWSTR
                        lpProcessAttributes,
  LPSECURITY ATTRIBUTES
                        lpThreadAttributes,
  LPSECURITY ATTRIBUTES
                        bInheritHandles,
  BOOL
                        dwCreationFlags,
  DWORD
                        lpEnvironment,
  LPVOID
                        lpCurrentDirectory,
 LPCWSTR
                        lpStartupInfo,
  LPSTARTUPINFOW
                        lpProcessInformation
  LPPROCESS INFORMATION
```

# Common multiprocessing tactic

- The flexibility of `fork` and `exec` is there if you need it.
- Define/use a higher level abstraction to take care of common cases
  - Ex: "subprocess" (from CS110 assign3/lab3)
  - Like the Windows approach, but no need for the OS to cover all possible valid use cases
- Most of these abstractions allow you to redirect standard input/ output and provide a function that you want to be executed after fork and before exec.

# Recap (starting here on 2/7)

- Common mistakes when calling fork():
  - Accidentally running code in child that's meant for the parent (via runaway children, or mistakenly putting code before `if pid == 0`)
    - Common: failing to properly error handle `exec`, or throwing an exception that is caught somewhere else
  - Inheriting virtual memory state —> risk of deadlock with threads
  - Failing to clean up (can run out of space in OS process table!)

# Recap (starting here on 2/7)

- We argue: don't call fork unless you're about to call exec
- And: define/use a higher level abstraction to combine 'fork' and 'exec' for the common cases. Generally, this will include some interface to, e.g., create pipes.
  - This abstraction is built into higher-level languages like Python and Rust — and can be created in C/C++ (e.g., `subprocess` class)

https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/process/struct.Command.html

- Step 1: set up the command.
  - What do you want to run?

Command::new(program: "ps"): Command

Name of executable you want the process to run

Here, it's `ps`, a <u>Linux</u>
 <u>utility</u> for displaying info
 about running processes

From week 3 starter code
With rust-analyzer type
annotations turned on

https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/process/struct.Command.html

- Step 2: set up the command (part 2)
  - What arguments do you want to run it with?

```
Command::new(program: "ps"): Command
.args(&["--pid", &pid.to_string(), "-o", "pid= ppid= command="])
```

Arguments you want to run 'ps' with

- --pid [pid] => show info about process with a specific `pid`
- -o and on: specify how to format the output

From week 3 starter code With rust-analyzer type annotations turned on

https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/process/struct.Command.html

- Step 3: run the command
  - There are a few different ways to do this; here's one



- Run this subprocess and block (wait for it to finish)
- On success, return a Result with (if Ok) stdout, stderr, and exit status.

From week 3 starter code With rust-analyzer type annotations turned on

### output() -> Output

- More specifically, `Command.output()` will:
  - Start the subprocess
  - PAUSE the parent process
  - Return a Result:
    - Could be an error, e.g., if `exec` failed
    - If it's Ok, it'll contain an Output struct that contains
       ExitStatus, stdout, and stderr fields
- Can think of this as a combination of fork, exec, waitpid, and rewiring stdin/stdout to pipes!

https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/process/struct.Output.html

https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/process/struct.Command.html

- Full code from week 3 starter code:
  - Sets up command to invoke `ps` with arguments
  - Calls output() to pause execution and get back Result<Output>
  - Applies `?` to the result to extract the Output (or return Error)
  - Gets the 'stdout' field from the Output, and converts it to a string.

https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/process/struct.Command.html

- Alternative step 3: .status()
  - Run child process
  - Pause parent's execution until finished
  - Don't get back stdout/stderr, but do get Result(exit status)

https://doc.rust-lang.org/std/process/struct.Command.html

- Alternative step 3: .spawn()
  - Start up child process
  - DON'T pause parent's execution while child is running
  - Get a Result(Child struct) back

```
let status = _child.wait();
```

Must call "wait" on child later!

# Pre-exec function (needed for project 1)

```
use std::os::unix::process::CommandExt;
// Initialize Command
let cmd = Command::new("ls");
// Add pre-exec function
unsafe {
 cmd.pre exec(function to run);
   Spawn child process
let child = cmd.spawn()
```

We haven't talked about "unsafe"
Rust yet. Think about the **unsafe**block here as a **warning** — telling
you to limit what you do in this
function. (E.g., avoid allocating
memory or accessing shared data
in the presence of threads.)

It's rare that you would need to specify a pre-exec function, but you'll need it to make a system call to set up debugging in project 1

### Concurrent execution

- How did we (maybe) mess things up when calling fork()?
  - Accidentally nesting forks when spawning multiple child processes
  - Runaway children
  - Failing to free locks when threads are involved
  - Failure to clean up (zombie processes)
    - Still a thing!
    - You could implement a struct with a Drop trait that calls wait()?
- You can also do all of these things in C++

# Project 1:)

- Is a thing! Lots of multiprocessing! Lots of Rust!
- Walkthrough slides are linked from the project 1 handout!

